

192 Wellington Main Street - Site Plan Approval - Comment Response Matrix				
Comment Date	Comment	Comments Related To:	Responded-to By:	Response/Action Item
27-Aug-23	1. Drawing A-102 'Site Plan Stats/O.B.C Matrix' identifies a GFA of 552.8 m2 for the garden suites; however, the site plan shows that the footprints for the seven cabins have a collective area of 441.9 m2. Please update stats to reflect GFA.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Statistics updated as requested. Refer to drawing A-102 .
27-Aug-23	2. The drawing is still busy and difficult to follow. Please remove irrelevant information (i.e. grades and elevations, hydrants, manhole, etc).	Site Plan	Global Architect	Refer to Site Plan Drawing A-100 .
27-Aug-23	3. Please remove bubbles from Site Plan and use notes instead.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Revision clouds/bubbles removed. Refer to A-101, A-101A .
27-Aug-23	4. What does the seasonal sales building consist of? Will this be available exclusively to hotel guests?	Site Plan	Global Architect	Seasonal sales structure will offer seasonal goods and products available exclusively to hotel guests, during events held in the garden areas.
27-Aug-23	5. Please provide signage drawings so that we can review for compliance with the Sign By-law. We maintain that vegetative buffering is the preferred form of buffering but will review sign drawings once available.	Site Plan	VTLA	Signage drawings have been included with the latest submission. As noted in discussions with staff, the signage on fence is the most viable option for providing a suitable visual buffer to the transformer in the front yard.
27-Aug-23	6. The detached cabins feature double doors and decks to the rear of the cottages, encouraging guests to gather near to neighbouring properties. The decks should be removed and dedicated amenity space for the cabins should be limited to the central lawn area in front of the cabins.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Rear decks provide private amenity area for the guests in each garden suite (typically 2 occupants per suite except for corner A-frame garden suite which has 4 occupants); landscape plan provides for privacy fencing and planting to neighbouring properties; there are no communal amenity areas located at the rear of the garden suites where people can congregate. Based on these design aspects, it is our opinion that the decks are adequately buffered from adjacent land uses. Furthermore, guests will not congregate in these locations as they are private decks available to users within each cabin.
27-Aug-23	7. Will amenities such as the spa and yoga studio be offered for use by the general public? If so, dedicated parking should be provided.	Site Plan	Global Architect	The Spa and Yoga Studio are available exclusively to hotel guests.
27-Aug-23	8. The kitchen area should be included in the parking requirement calculation for the restaurant in order to ensure that there is adequate parking for staff. Please update the 'Site Plan Stats/O.B.C Matrix' page in accordance.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Parking calculation updated per by-law definition; kitchen area included in restaurant calculation; 68 spaces required for uses; 68 spaces provided; refer to parking statistics on A-102 .
27-Aug-23	9. There are numerous opportunities to better integrate the pedestrian walkway, as illustrated below.	Site Plan	Global Architect/VTLA	Consultants have provided walkway connecting path at Season Sales structure to the path around the outdoor patio area. Refer to A-100, A-101, A-101A .
27-Aug-23	10. The two-bedroom detached cabin should be oriented in alignment with the other cabins along the west lot line. This will reduce massing as viewed from Main Street	Site Plan	Global Architect	The angled garden suite is screened from Main Street view and is located in northwest corner of site; there is no impact of its massing as viewed from Main Street.
27-Aug-23	11. There should be depressed curves provided between pedestrian walkways and paved areas as shown in the exert below. Please provide detail drawings for these depressed curves.	Site Plan	Global Architect/VTLA	Walkways slope down to RAP - no depressed curb detail required; walkway detail provided in Landscape Plan .
27-Aug-23	12. Bollard details provided in drawing A-1010; however, the location of bollards is not indicated on the site plan. Please update the site plan to indicate the location of bollards or remove this detail if it is not needed.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Bollards are shown on site plan drawings A-101 and A-101A , near barrier-free parking spaces.
27-Aug-23	13. Please provide a detail for the intersection between the driveway and Main Street that shows left and right-hand turning radius'. It is unclear whether those entering from the east will need to traverse 186 Wellington Main Street to access the property.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Refer to detail of entrance curb radii intersection with Main Street curb; entrance from east side of driveway does not traverse the neighbouring property. Refer to detail 1/A-100 .
27-Aug-23	14. Will curbs be provided around the perimeter for all parking areas? If so, please provide this detail.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Curbs are not provided around the entire perimeter for all parking areas; curb is shown along eastern side of the entrance drive - refer to A-100, A-101, A-101A . Refer to curb detail OPSD 600.040 on A-101B .
27-Aug-23	15. Please move loading space. We suggest moving toward the seasonal sales stand. The driveway separates the loading space from the kitchen entry on the east façade and this is likely to create a conflict for traffic circulation within the property.	Site Plan	Global Architect/VTLA/Client	This location is the most ideal based on numerous configurations/parking lot iterations; provides access to kitchen receiving. The length of the loading space has been increased to 7.8m from 6.0m to accommodate delivery and waste removal vehicles. Refer to A-100, A-101A, A-101A .
27-Aug-23	16. Please indicate the width of the walkway around the yoga studio and annex. This should be a minimum of 1.8 metres.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Dimensions added to drawings A-100, A-101 and A101A .
27-Aug-23	17. A landing is required at the bottom of the ramp in accordance with the Integrated Accessibility Standards (O. Reg. 191/11). Please provide cross slopes for landings at the top and bottom of the ramp.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Refer to A-601C .
27-Aug-23	18. Railings for accessibility ramp appear to be squared off. This is permitted under O. Reg 191/11 where railings include a graspable portion. Please provide detail for this graspable portion or change railings to be circular in shape.	Site Plan	Global Architect	Refer to A-601C and A-601D. Graspable handrail is detailed to comply with OBC and Section 80.24 of IASR. Handrail is circular in shape with a diameter of 1 1/2" or 38mm.
27-Aug-23	19. Please indicate height and diameter of railings	Site Plan	Global Architect	Refer to A-601C and A-601D for heights of railings and guards. Handrails are located 3'-0" or 915mm above the finished surface of the ramp. Handrails are 1 1/2" or 38mm in diameter.

27-Aug-23	20. Please update Illumination Plan to provide lighting of at least 35 lux (3.25 fc) at the main entrance and throughout the entirety of the accessible parking spaces.	Illumination Plan	Hambly	The revised plan is attached. Drawing 20-06 E202 was included in the second submission where security wall light was specified RAB WL-LED26 produces 3258 Lm with 35 lux on a surface 10 ft from the source. The lighting has been revised to comply with the accessibility requirements from the Town. The lighting on all accessibility paths is a minimum of 3.25 fc and not exceeding 5.0 fc. The security lights have been revised to match the accessible wall lights. The lights on the accessible paths show the individual illumination at 3.25 fc - the dash line.
27-Aug-23	21. As per comment 86 from the second submission, fencing is required along all interior and rear lot lines. There is no fencing along the east lot line although fencing is required under Section 4.14 of the Zoning By-law. If there is insufficient room to establish a fence along the east lot line then parking spaces should be moved westward. As previously indicated, the County is amenable to reducing parking space area through a Minor Variance application.	Landscape Plan	VTLA	Fencing has been added to the eastern lot line and is shown on the Landscape Plan. However, it should be noted that there are two gaps in the eastern fence to accommodate the trunks of existing trees. It is our opinion that these trees will provide adequate screening in lieu of the fence. These trees are further detailed in the updated Arborist Report .
27-Aug-23	22. Fencing should be provided between the northern property line for Lot 11. It is understood that fencing may need to be interrupted adjacent to the walkway as is permitted under Section 4.14 of the Zoning By-law, however, fencing should be established along the majority of the property line for Lot 11.	Landscape Plan	VTLA	Fencing is provided within this location. Additional labels have been added to the Landscape Plan.
27-Aug-23	23. Regarding Second Submission, Development Services Comment 3: The following information is still missing: number of rooms in the main building, number of rooms in the annex, and the number of seats in the restaurant.	Servicing Report	Hambly	The number of rooms was presented in the calculations contained in the submission. The number of rooms has been added to the text of the report, on page 2, in the introduction.
27-Aug-23	24. Regarding Second Submission, Development Services Comment 12: The revised drawing is missing from Appendix 3. Please provide.	Servicing Report	Hambly	This width of the driveway is shown on other drawings. The Town asked that information be divided among several drawing for clarity and to reduce clutter. This dimension has been added to the service drawing as requested.
27-Aug-23	25. Page 8 states that States, "the additional 0.89 litres per second in sanitary flow at peak does not seem to be a significant additional flow to the sanitary system of Wellington. There is an increase in daily flow [28750l/day as per OBC12 Part 8 or 40910 l/day as per Design Guidelines for Sewage Works 5.5.2]. Should the town consider this increase in sanitary flow intolerable for current facility capacity, a timed release of sanitary waste could be achieved. The sanitary waste from the project to the Town's facility could be during late evening and early morning." An additional 28.75m3/day or 40.91m3/day does not seem like much for additional flow overall for the wastewater treatment facility but it is significant flow for the two pumping stations and in particular, the Wharf Street pumping station. Also, an increase in flows of 28.75m3/day or 40.91m3/day during a very wet spring season, with melt, thaw and rain conditions will present challenges for both the pumping stations in Wellington as well as the wastewater treatment facility, until upgrades are completed. Timed release of sanitary during the late evening and early morning is also not a practical solution as it will make no difference during a wet season (spring melt, thaw and rain) at what time of the day you pump sewage to the municipal sanitary main, as the nighttime hours often still remain very active for pumping during this time.	Servicing Report	Hambly	The design has been revised to eliminate any sanitary flow to the Town during either the morning nor the afternoon peaks. The pumping system will deliver 25 litres per minute at four times during the morning for 55 minutes, at 10:00, 11:00, 14:00 and 15:00. So this proposal actually generates a reduced sanitary flow to the Town during peak periods and is equivalent to the pre-development flow during the day. The increase of design sanitary flow would be released between 12:30 and 5:30 a.m. at a rate of approximately 96 litres per minute. All of these pumps operate as a duplex controlled system where pumps alternate operation. Should one pump fail the other pump continues to pump. The failed pump generates an alarm/light at the front desk. The contractor is called to replace the failed pump with an on site spare. These pumping cycles/durations are suggested. The Town can suggest charges to reduce intermittent treatment loads.
27-Aug-23	26. Page 8 states: States, "the timing of the peak flow generated by the restaurant would be out of phase with the peak flow resulting from the community domestic use. Domestic peak flows are generally occurring in the morning as the general population awakens. The restaurant peak flow would be during early evening when patrons are dining for their supper." Domestic peak flows generally occur in the morning as the populations awakens but would also tend to occur in the evening when the general population returns home for the evening which would coincide with the restaurant peak flows.	Servicing Report	Hambly	The flow that is over and above the acceptable 5,500 litre daily flow amounts to 28,750 litres. None of this sanitary waste will be delivered to the Town during the day. The surplus sanitary waste will be delivered to the Town between 12:30 and 5:30 at a rate of 96 litres. Under normal operating situations, the pumping would be completed by 2:00. Seldom or never would the design flow be achieved.
27-Aug-23	27. Please be advised that available allocation for water and wastewater services in Wellington is severely constrained. Should a Site Plan Agreement be established between the proponent and municipality, this would not constitute a guarantee that capacity would be allocated. Allocation will ultimately need to be awarded to the proponent at the discretion of Council.	Servicing Report	Hambly	This allocation is understood and has been discussed with the owner. It should be noted that with the installation of fire sprinklers on the first floor and the construction of fire separations as per OBC12 the required demand for fire fighting water has actually been significantly reduced by this proposed development. The fire fighting demand far exceeds the domestic demand. As learned from our zoom meeting with the Town, the sanitary allocation is provided for a 400+ housing development that hasn't completed the design phase. The developer will not be building 200 houses per year when he begins - whenever that will be. Our project requires an allocation that is equivalent to less than 10 houses from this subdivision development. Surely the Town would not let a developer tie up over 400 house sanitary allocation for an indefinite period of time.
27-Aug-23	28. The description of the catchment areas in the report seems to be inconsistent with the catchment areas shown in Appendix 3 DWG No. SP2.1. Please clarify.	Stormwater Report	Hambly	The revised catchment drawing has been prepared to be consistent with the Report. Additional narrative has been added to the report to describe the catchment areas. The Pre-Development Catchment is illustrated on drawing SP2.3 ".

27-Aug-23	29. Regarding Second Submission, Development Services Comment 19: Section 3.1 from the second submission noted that "the proposed development requires stormwater storage of 56.6 cubic metres" and notes that the "total stormwater storage provided for 1 in 100 year event is 190 cubic metres". It is understood these values were updated based on the comment below and in Section 3.1 of the revised report they are 56.6 m3 and 63.3 m3, respectively. However, Appendix 2 of the revised report notes the required water storage is 35.451 m3 and the total storage available is 84.7 m3. What do the 56.6 m3 and 63.3 m3 represent? Or have these values just not been updated in the revised report?	Stormwater Report	Hambly	Yes, there were revisions. The calculations and report will be coordinated. In any case, there is ample stormwater storage in compliance with the Provincial Guidelines. The required storage is 59.9 m3. The storage provided is 87.4 m3. The additional storage is used to slow the flow to the Cultec unit during the 1/5 year events [or more frequent].
27-Aug-23	30. The calculations refer to catchment areas that were used in the Second Submission, such as Lot # 1, Lot #2, Lot #3, EC, CE, etc. Revised catchment areas were provided in the Third Submission only include catchments area A, B and C (SP2.1). Please update and confirm the calculations in Appendix 2 represent the revised catchment areas.	Stormwater Report	Hambly	The report and calculation sheets have been revised to collaborate. At the suggestion of the Conservation Authority, the number of catchments was revised to three , A , B and C for both the pre and post conditions. The revised spreadsheet show only these three catchment areas. These areas are also indicated on the catchment drawings.
27-Aug-23	31. Swales in the urban area must have a minimum slope of 2%. Where swales less than 2% is needed, an enhanced swale is used.	Stormwater Management Plan (DWG SP2.0)	Hambly	The swales of less than 2% are enhanced swales. Revised drawings clearly identify these.
27-Aug-23	32. In the "CATCH BASIN SCHEDULE" table there are two CB#5's with different values. Please clarify.	Stormwater Management Plan (DWG SP2.0)	Hambly	The schedule has been revised - CB and pipe schedule. One CB#5, but two pipes into CB#5 so it occurs twice on the chart, once per pipe.
27-Aug-23	33. Regarding Second Submission, Development Services Comment 35: It was noted that the Service lines had been changed to heavy polylines. This does not look to the case. Line for services and swales should also be included in legend.	Stormwater Management Plan (DWG SP2.0)	Hambly	You did not receive the latest drawing of heavy polylines. The revised drawing has been included in this submission, along with the pipe line legend.
27-Aug-23	34. Regarding Second Submission, Development Services Comment 39: A bubble for 4/SE2 still looks to be missing. A bubble for A/SP2.3 is also called out. Drawing SP2.3 has not been provided, please provide drawing.	Stormwater Management Plan (DWG SP2.0)	Hambly	Bubble has been revised on drawing. The bubble is 4/SE2. SP2.3 is the Pre-Development Catchment drawing. It should have been sent to you. The drawing is included in this submission.
27-Aug-23	35. Regarding Second Submission, Development Services Comment 41: Line type(s) for the swales are still missing from legend.	Stormwater Management Plan (DWG SP2.0)	Hambly	The Legend has been revised to include swale inverts.
27-Aug-23	36. Regarding Second Submission, Development Services Comment 45: OFC definition still missing from legend.	Stormwater Management Plan (DWG SP2.0)	Hambly	OFC has been identified in the Legend.
27-ug-23	37. Note in bottom right of drawing says 'See Stormwater Management Report and Notes on SP2.1'. Drawing SP2.1 has not been provided. Please provide.	Servicing Plan (DWG SP 2.2)	Hambly	The Post Development Catchments has been provided on SP2.1
27-Aug-23	38. A bubble for A/SP2.3 is also called out. Drawing SP2.3 has not been provided. Please provide.	Servicing Plan (DWG SP 2.2)	Hambly	The bubble has been renamed. The section is included.
27-Aug-23	39. Shows a 200mm PVC sewer main on Wellington Main Street in front of address 192 Wellington Main Street. According to the internal GIS viewer and the Gore & Storrie Sanitary Sewer drawings dated 1980, the sewer main on Wellington Main Street in front of address 192 Wellington Main Street is a 250mm (10") Asbestos Cement (AC) sanitary sewer main. The contractor will need to ensure proper abatement procedures are followed when working in, around and disposing of asbestos cement materials. A cut in tee with Hymax couplings will need to be installed.	Servicing Plan (DWG SK 23 & SK 24)	Hambly	The sanitary line has been revised along with related notes. To - 250 mm Asbestos Cement AC sanitary main, using the Hymax couplings. Revisions located on SP3', D1.1.1) and SK23' .
27-Aug-23	40. Wellington Hotel Drawing No. SK 24 shows a 200mm Blue SDR 18 Forced watermain on Wellington Main Street, in front of address 192 Wellington Main Street, which likely refers to PVC pipe. This likely is not PVC pipe and is a more likely to be a 200mm cast iron watermain. It is recommended to install a new valve on the west side of the tee connection to the watermain on Wellington Main Street. There is already a valve nearby on the watermain to the east side of this property. This could allow for strategic water looping to this property.	Servicing Plan (DWG SK 23 & SK 24)	Hambly	SK 24 The main will be revised to 200 mm cast iron and we ll implement the loop service. These revisions are on SP3', D1.1.2) and SK24' .
27-Aug-23	41. In drawing SK 23 it shows a "125mm Green SDR 18 @ 1.0% 9.6 m from M/HSA1." In drawing SK 24 it shows a "150mm Green SDR 18 @ 1.5% 9.6m from M/HSA1." Can you please verify the sanitary lateral size and the slope on this lateral?	Servicing Plan (DWG SK 23 & SK 24)	Hambly	Dwgs ' SK23' and ' SK24' have been revised to 125 mm green SDR 18 sanitary lateral to 250 mm CA main with pipe slopes & inverts are indicated on the drawing plan and in a table, respectively on SP3' .
27-Aug-23	42. Drawing SP3 notes " SEE NOTES ON SP3.1 FOR GRADE/ELEVATION". Grade and elevations don't seem to be provided on drawing SP3.1.	Servicing Plan (DWG SP 3.0 & 3.1)	Hambly	Drawing references have been revised. Elevations and grades are indicated on drawing SP2.2'
27-Aug-23	43. The location of the water service on drawing SP3 in inconsistent with the location of the water service on drawing SP3.1.	Servicing Plan (DWG SP 3.0 & 3.1)	Hambly	Note SP3.1 is outdated. The complete set of site plans is attached. Water service and water meter vault were added to SP3' . Drawing also references the vault details on SK20' & SK21' .
27-Aug-23	44. Should be noted on SP3.1 that details for water/sanitary are found on SP3 and stormwater management details can be found on SP2.0.	Servicing Plan (DWG SP 3.0 & 3.1)	Hambly	Drawing cross references have been revised.
27-Aug-23	45. Regarding second submission, Development Services comment 68: Based on response, it was indicated that note "THE SANITARY MANHOLE IS LOCATED IN A PROPOSED PLACEMENT. THE SANITARY MANHOLE HAS ALREADY BEEN INSTALLED BUT NOT IN THIS LOCATION. LANDSCAPING REQUESTED THIS RELOCATION." had been replaced with "M/HSA2 IS TO BE RELOCATED AS SHOWN ON DWG SP3.0". This has not been done.	Servicing Plan (DWG SP 3.0 & 3.1)	Hambly	The discussion of these manhole shall be updated. One of the manholes SMH#1 has been actually installed . It was placed in the ground, but not placed in the correct location and note connected to pipes. Another manhole, SMH#2 is to be located near the property line. All these are identified and located on the service site plan, SP3' .
27-Aug-23	46. Sections of the drawing (SP3) are illegible due to the line type/thickness in some areas. For example:	Servicing Plan (DWG SP 3.0 & 3.1)	Hambly	Hatching has been removed and line thickness reduced to improve legibility.
27-Aug-23	47. Drawing No. SP3 - The water meter vault at property line that includes the backflow prevention device, the backflow preventer will need to be a double check valve assembly (DCVA) style not an RPZ. The water meter and backflow preventer will require a bypass line around them, for maintenance purposes. A detailed drawing of the water meter chamber and backflow prevention chamber for review, would be appreciated. The water meter chamber and backflow prevention chamber will require a power source and a sump pump with float switch activation, to automatically keep the chamber pumped out and free of standing water. The sump pump should discharge to the storm sewer. The backflow preventer (BFP) must be certified when commissioned.	Servicing Plan (DWG SP 3.0 & 3.1)	Hambly	Notes have been added to reflect the Town concern. See details on Drawing SK20' & SK21' .

27-Aug-23	48. Note 9 DWG D1.1, Notes Concerning Stormwater Design - the catch basins and corresponding diameters referred to in note 9 are inconsistent with the catch basins and diameters in the catch basin schedule on drawing SP2.0.	Site Plan - Site Notes (DWG 1.1 - 1.5)	Hambly	Notes are updated to make consistent - Table on SP2' and note #8 on D1.1' .
27-Aug-23	49. Note 10 DWG D1.1, Notes Concerning Stormwater Design - pipes are not shown on drawing SP2.0.	Site Plan - Site Notes (DWG 1.1 - 1.5)	Hambly	All stormwater pipes are sized and identified in Table CATCH BASIN & PIPE SCHEDULE ON SP2' . Slopes are indicated in the table and on the drawing.
27-Aug-23	50. Hotel Drawing No. D1.1 - States, "prior is to putting the water service into use, an disinfection procedure [provincial standard 2020 must be followed] and a bacterial test must be performed and the line shown to be free of bacteria. A copy of the test report must be provided to the county prior to the line being put into service." How do they plan on commissioning this water service line? The Water & Wastewater department would like to review the tie-in and commissioning plans for both the water and sanitary connections. On the County's side of this water service, they will need to follow AWWA standards and the provincial guidelines for watermain disinfection. This water service line will need to be within 6 metres of the watermain and all documentation will need to be approved by the ORO of the PEC Water Department or Designate before the final connection will be made.	Site Plan - Site Notes (DWG 1.1 - 1.5)	Hambly	Comments have been edited on drawing in D1.1 2)g); "THE COMMISSIONING OF WATER AND SANITARY SERVICES ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH "WATERMAIN DISINFECTION PROCEDURE AUGUST 2020" AND "AMERICAN WATER WORKS ASSOCIATION". THE CONTRACTOR MUST PREPARE THE COMMISSIONING PROCEDURES FOR THE REVIEW OF ORO OF THE PEC WATER DEPARTMENT. THE LINES FOR COMMISSIONING MUST BE WITHIN 6 METRES OF THE MUNICIPAL MAINS."
27-Aug-23	51. Wellington Hotel Drawing No. D1.1 - States " water service lateral and the fire service lateral is to have a 14 ga tw solid copper coated wire attached to the top side of the pipe. As per obc12 7.2.11.3." The County's side of the water lateral should have 8-gauge solid copper core tracer wire affixed to it. All municipal watermains and services within the road allowance should have 8-gauge solid copper core tracer wire.	Site Plan - Site Notes (DWG 1.1 - 1.5)	Hambly	D1.1 8. Edited:"WATER SERVICE LATERAL AND THE FIRE SERVICE LATERAL IS TO HAVE A 8 ga TW SOLID COPPER COATED WIRE ATTACHED TO THE TOP SIDE OF THE PIPE IN THE MUNICIPAL ROAD ALLOWANCE AND A 14 ga SOLID WIRE ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY . AS PER OBC12 7.2.11.3
28-Jul-23	The stormwater solution presented in the design may function but is more complex than necessary given the small size and layout of the property. The municipal peer review consultant may want to recommend that the proposal not mix water that requires quality treatment (and maybe subject to contamination) with stormwater that is deemed clean, if the goal is to minimize treatment volumes, and potentially reuse or infiltrate. The roof top, landscaped areas and walkways produce runoff that is considered clean, requires minimal treatment and is suitable for onsite reuse. Runoff from parking lots and driveways possibly contains sediments, salts and hydrocarbons, requiring treatment prior to discharge or reuse. These areas could possibly be serviced by a well-positioned single OGS unit.	Quinte Conservation	Hambly	Details outlined in attacehd Letter to QCA
28-Jul-23	Parking areas and driveways can be serviced with parking lot and pipe storage for quantity control. Controlled discharge rates will need to be calculated. Further, an overland flow path directing surface water to the municipal road should be assessed.	Quinte Conservation	Hambly	Details outlined in attacehd Letter to QCA
28-Jul-23	Please note that the EV charging stations are currently within the area of parking lot storage inundation. The area containing landscaping, cottages and rooftop discharges can be serviced with surface depression storage, pipe storage, and underground vault storage. Suitable flow restrictions are required.	Quinte Conservation	Hambly	Details outlined in attacehd Letter to QCA
28-Jul-23	Detailed construction drawings have not been provided at this point and will be required to properly review conveyances, control structure design, connections, and layout. Supporting calculations for discharge rates, and discharge control structures should be updated.	Quinte Conservation	Hambly	Details outlined in attacehd Letter to QCA