

- a) Section 2.1 - The consultant should consider including pre-consultation notes, or any correspondence with County staff that can confirm the acceptance of the proposed scope of work and methodology.
- N/A (no pre-consultation).
- b) Section 2.2 – The description for Lakeside Drive identifies that, “It is unlikely that this road will be used for trips in and out of Consecon as alternatives, such as CR1 and CR33, have a higher posted speed limit and offer better connections to surrounding population centres.” The consultant should consider providing more detail and clarity to qualify this statement or reword it.
- Acknowledged, refer to revised TIS (forthcoming).
- c) Section 2.2 - The consultant should consider providing roadway descriptions for CR1 and CR29 as well for better understanding of the surrounding road network.
- Acknowledged, refer to revised TIS.
- d) Section 3.1 - The consultant should include more details regarding the data collection process, including weather condition, vehicle classifications, pedestrian / cyclist movements if available.
- Acknowledged. Jewell retained OTI to conduct updated traffic counts over Labour Day weekend in 2022. The devices used by OTI were unable to collect data on cyclists or pedestrians, however an insignificant number of either were observed during the 2021 counts.
- e) Section 3.1 - The consultant should consider providing supporting data, if available, to verify the validity of the statement, “Vehicular use has returned to levels similar to pre-pandemic.”
- N/A – Updated counts were completed September 2022. Refer to revised report.
- f) Section 5.2.3 - The sight distance criteria for Lakeside Drive, which has a lower posted speed, is not provided. The consultant should update this section to include the sight distance criteria for Lakeside Drive.
- Acknowledged, refer to revised report.
- g) Section 5.2.3 - The consultant should review the site plan and provide discussion with respect to the impacts of these proposed driveway lots that appear to be fronting onto both Lakeside Drive and/or County Road 33.
- Acknowledged, Lots 13-18 will access the road network via Lakeside Drive, and all other lots via the internal road network. Jewell recommends the following:

- i. Construction of the Lot 14 driveway on the east side of the lot to maintain the minimum 25m separation between the driveway and south site access.
- ii. Construction of the Lot 15 driveway on the west side of the lot to maintain the minimum 25m separation between the driveway and south site access.
- iii. Construction of the Lot 18 driveway on the east side of the lot to maintain the minimum 25m separation between the driveway and Loyalist Pkwy.

h) Section 7 – Update the conclusion as required.

- Acknowledged, refer to revised report.

i) Section 8 – References [1] and [2] refer to the County of Lennox and Addington.

- References corrected.

j) Additional - The consultant should provide additional discussions with respect to active transportation within the proposed development.

- Acknowledged, refer to revised report.

k) Additional - The consultant should provide additional discussions with respect to internal traffic control within the proposed development.

- Acknowledged, refer to revised report.